

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,666	01/15/2002	Michael E. Barrett	006560.P025	5277	
8791 BLAKELY SC	7590 08/14/200 OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			AL HASHEMI, SANA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2164			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/047,666	BARRETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sana Al-Hashemi	2164		

	Sana Al-Hashemi	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	lianna with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two manths	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown to the properties of the properties).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			10 133003 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ottod ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  N of pruproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Liam(s) objected to:  Liam(		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-5.9.10 and 12-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a l.
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Sana Al-Hashemi/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2164	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the applied and does not teach the claimed invention, "none of Edlund's weights boost a low valued relevancy score because the relevancy citself is low". Examiner and islaggrees. The argued limitation is not in the claim language. Applicant argues the applied art fails to discloses the method of determining an expected click rate for said piece of information; adjusting the enhanced popularity score based in assessing actual click rate of said piece of information against the expected click rate. Examiner disagrees. The cited portion of Col. 10 lines 50-65, and Col 9, lines 25-51 discloses the claimed limitation.